


33 responses

[View all responses](#) [Publish analytics](#)


Summary

Overall, this workshop was ...




Excellent	11	33%
Good	20	61%
Okay	2	6%
Needs improvement	0	0%

Please rate the CANARIE presentations




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	4	13%
4	21	68%
5	6	19%

Please rate the RPI presentations




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	11	35%
4	15	48%
5	5	16%

Please rate the RPI discussion session




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	9	29%
4	16	52%
5	6	19%

Please rate the platform presentations




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	12	39%
4	16	52%
5	3	10%

Please rate the platform discussion session




1	1	3%
2	0	0%
3	8	26%
4	19	61%
5	3	10%

Please rate the Monday evening reception




1	0	0%
2	2	6%
3	2	6%
4	15	48%
5	12	39%

Please rate the breakout sessions




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	10%
4	14	45%
5	14	45%

Was the venue ...



Comfortable	27	24%
Conveniently located	31	28%
Accessible	27	24%
Properly equipped	25	23%
None of the above	1	1%

Do you anticipate being involved in any new collaborations as a result of this workshop?




Yes	26	79%
No	7	21%

If yes, briefly describe

Found considerable overlap with other project in the domain of data visualization and video annotation visualization, authentication Perhaps using BrainBrowser to extend our current data viz capabilities. Possibility of leveraging what other groups have done toward annotations, speech-to-text, etc. we made good first contact with a couple of groups willing to use our new service. - Continue our collaboration with Cbrain - Start to explore potential joint venture with the genomic project Ireceptor - ONC using some of our RPIs. Active Folders, or a VM processing solution Intend to contact other groups to discuss technical matters and approaches to certain problems. I discussed with another group about sharing expertise and ways of working with vms, how they will be deployed and how the services will be arranged on them. So we will keep in touch as our services evolve over time. Many new/renewed interests: - ActiveFolder - CRIM - Visualization - CANFAR theres a chance of collaboration on the visualization tool Two groups have been identified as having potential services/collaborations relevant to our NEP Some interest was shown in my

software by two other teams. Maybe, but too soon to say. More investigation on design is required. Interested in some of the video, data visualization and annotations tools that are being developed by other teams. Discussion between my and another participants group will be taking place. They hope to learn from our platform development as their area of research is similar CADC-IReceptor collab on web service access to RDBMS general pursuit of common authentication mechanism/tools Using modules that we intended to develop on our own, and that are already offered by other groups. We got in touch with a couple groups who may be a good fit to use our services. Hopefully security and monitoring interaction with CANFAR and others Strengthening / diversification of already existing connections. Valuable insight from including other related groups in some of the breakout discussions, but not as much in terms of entirely new collaboration. Reuse of our RPI, possible collaboration outside scope of CANARIE. We've found some potential users for our RPI Possibly. Interesting discussions which may lead to collaborations with CBrain and CyberSKA.

Would you consider attending a similar workshop in the future



Are there other topics you would be interested in covering in a future workshop?

More concrete examples and technology show cases Software engineering, maybe? If software is to be built in way that will be adoptable by others, good software engineering practices are necessary, e.g. versioning, modularity, etc. maybe a demo during the presentation Hands on session. Discussion on RPIs that tend to have the same functionality but are distinguished due to their platform application. A lot of RPIs use data transfer but different platforms use their own methods.Can we just use/have one uuniversal RPI? Or is the separation needed? The whole foodchain of data... Data acquisition, fusion, transcoding, viz, manipulation Breakout sessions about already existing and generic RPIs, how to use them, etc. Interoperability standards, international collaborations

Are there any other comments, ideas or suggestions you would like to share with us?

Better enforce the length of lightning presentations. The workshop was useful and informative, thank you! I'd prefer that the RPI/NEP presentations had more time to give context or demos (when ready). A few more stand-up breaks during longer runs of presentations. Make Rpi and Nep

descriptions and presentation available beforehand so we can prepare. Make a call for a demo Nep that would leverage several existing RPI and help the creation of new, pertinent ones. Group discussion on the second day was good. On the first day it was not -- too short, and too many small groups. A few larger groups seems to be better. Some better meal options. Bread is not appetizing Perhaps a poster session or two might make for more effective interactions instead of a 2-3 minute presentation per RPI/NEP? That's a lot of information in a very short time - and different subsets of participants seem likely to be interested in different subsets of each. requirement/design sessions on some common tools/services Venue was a little cold. RPI, NEP presentations were a little too quick to be very useful. Overall, strongest Canarie workshop I've been to. No For the RPI/NEP discussions, I didn't think there was a need for the CANARIE group / PIs to leave the room. Monday afternoon sessions were a bit too short to get anything productive done. Perhaps the same content stretched over 2 full days would be more effective. This workshop was more dynamic and productive than prior ones. Maybe a bit more efficiency in getting the breakout session going (maybe the topics and votes could have been done the day before, or online?). This is a test Integration of Compute Canada technical and policy representatives since many services use CC resources. The short presentation of RPI and NEP is a good idea. The content was a bit uneven why I rated it a middle score. The unconference worked very well. The Monday discussions not so much, mainly because the groups did not have enough overlap.

Number of daily responses

